UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 7 901 NORTH FIFTH STREET KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101

03 APR - 1 AM 10: 26

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY-REGION VII REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR

IN THE MATTER OF) Docket No. CWA-07-2003-0101 CERCLA-07-2003-0101 EPCRA-07-2003-0101
Monke Bros Fertilizer Company Fontanelle, NE 68044) COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF) OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING
Respondent	,

COMPLAINT

Jurisdiction

- 1. This is an administrative action for the assessment of civil penalties instituted pursuant to Section 109 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (hereinafter "CERCLA"), as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 9609, and Section 325 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (hereinafter "EPCRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 11045; and Section 311(b)(6) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, commonly referred to as the Clean Water Act (hereafter CWA), 33 U.S.C. § 1321 (b)(6) as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990.
- 2. This Complaint serves as notice that the United States Environmental Protection Agency (hereinafter "EPA") has reason to believe that Respondent has violated Section 103 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9603, and the regulations promulgated pursuant to Section 102 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9602, and codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 302; and Section 304 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11004, and the regulations promulgated pursuant to Section 328 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11048, and codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 355; and Section 311 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1321 and regulations promulgated thereunder and codified at 40 C.F.R. Parts 116 and 117, governing the discharge of hazardous substances.

Parties

- 3. The Complainant, by delegation from the Administrator of EPA, and the Regional Administrator, EPA, Region 7, are the Director, Air, RCRA, and Toxics Division, EPA, Region 7, and the Director, Water, Wetlands, and Pesticides Division, EPA, Region 7.
- 4. The Respondent is Monke Bros Fertilizer Company, located at West Bennington Road, Bennington, Nebraska 68007. Respondent is a wholesale fertilizer and agricultural chemicals company. Respondent is incorporated in the State of Nebraska and registered to do business in the State of Nebraska.

Statutory and Regulatory Framework

- 5. Section 103(a) of CERCLA and the regulation set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 302.6, require any person in charge of a vessel or an onshore or offshore facility, as soon as he has knowledge of any release (other than a federally permitted release) of a hazardous substance from such vessel or facility in quantities equal to or greater than the reportable quantity established pursuant to Section 102 of CERCLA, to immediately notify the National Response Center of such release.
- 6. Section 304(a) of EPCRA and the regulation set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 355.40, require the owner or operator of a facility at which a hazardous chemical is produced, used, or stored and at which there is a release of a reportable quantity of any EPCRA extremely hazardous substance or CERCLA hazardous substance to immediately notify the State Emergency Response Commission of any State likely to be affected by the release and the emergency coordinator for the Local Emergency Planning Committee for any area likely to be affected by the release.
- 7. Section 311 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1321, prohibits the discharge of designated hazardous substances into or upon the navigable waters of the United States or adjoining shorelines in such quantities that have been determined may be harmful to the public health or welfare of the United States.

Factual Background

- 8. Respondent is a person as defined by Section 101(21) of CERCLA and Section 329(7) of EPCRA and Section 311(a) of the CWA.
- 9. At all times relevant hereto, Respondent owned or operated and was in charge of Monke Bros Fertilizer Company located at West Bennington Road, Bennington, Nebraska 68007 (hereinafter "Respondent's facility").
- 10. Respondent's facility is a facility as defined by Section 101(9) of CERCLA and Section 329(4) of EPCRA.
- 11. Respondent's facility is an onshore facility within the meaning of Section 311(a)(10) of the CWA.
- 12. On June 3, 2002, from approximately 8:34 A.M. to approximately 10:38 A.M., there was a release of anhydrous ammonia from Respondent's facility in excess of the reportable quantity designated by 40 C.F.R. § 302.4 and 40 C.F.R. § 117.3.
- 13. Anhydrous ammonia is a hazardous substance as defined by Section 101(14) of CERCLA, and Section 311 of the CWA, with a reportable quantity of 100 pounds, as designated by 40 C.F.R. § 302.4.

14. Anhydrous ammonia is an extremely hazardous substance, as defined by Section 329(3) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11049(3) and as designated pursuant to Section 302(a) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11002(a) and listed in 40 C.F.R. Part 355, Appendix A.

Violations

COUNT I

- 15. The facts stated in paragraphs 8 through 14 above are herein incorporated.
- 16. Respondent discovered the release referenced in paragraph 12 at approximately 8:34 a.m. on June 3, 2002.
- 17. Respondent did not immediately notify the National Response Center of the release as soon as it had knowledge of the release.
- 18. Respondent's failure to notify the National Response Center of the release as soon as it had knowledge of the release is a violation of Section 103(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9603, and of the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 302.6.
- 19. Pursuant to Section 109(b) of CERCLA, and based upon the facts set forth in paragraphs 15 through 18 above, it is proposed that a civil penalty of \$27,500 be assessed against Respondent.

COUNT II

- 20. The facts stated in paragraphs 8 through 14 above are herein incorporated.
- 21. At all times relevant hereto, hazardous chemicals as defined by Section 329(5) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11049(5), were produced, used, or stored by Respondent's facility.
- 22. Respondent did not immediately notify the State Emergency Response Commission or the Local Emergency Planning Committee of the release.
- 23. Respondent's failure to immediately notify the State Emergency Response Commission or the Local Emergency Planning Committee of the release is a violation of Section 304(b) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11004(b), and of the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 355.40(b).
- 24. Pursuant to Section 325(b)(2) of EPCRA, and based upon the facts set forth in paragraphs 20 through 23 above, it is hereby proposed that a civil penalty of \$27,500 be assessed against Respondent.

COUNT III

- 25. Section 311(b)(3) of the CWA prohibits the discharge of hazardous substances into or upon the navigable waters of the United States or adjoining shorelines in such quantities that have been determined may be harmful to the public health or welfare or environment of the United States.
- 26. For purposes of Sections 311(b)(3) and (b)(4) of the CWA, discharges of hazardous substances into or upon the navigable waters of the United States in such quantities that have been determined may be harmful to the public health or welfare or the environment of the United States are discharges of hazardous substances in excess of the quantities listed in 40 C.F.R. Part 117.
- 27. On June 3, 2002, Respondent discharged in excess of 1,000 lbs. of anhydrous ammonia, which is designated as a hazardous substance in 40 C.F.R. Part 116, from its facility into or upon an unnamed tributary to Papillion Creek and adjoining shorelines.
- 28. Papillion Creek and its unnamed tributary are navigable waters of the United States as defined by 40 C.F.R. Part 117.1.
- 29. Respondent's discharge, as described in Paragraph 27, was in excess of the quantity of such substance established in 40 C.F.R. Part 117 and consequently was in a quantity that has been determined may be harmful under 40 C.F.R. Part 117, and therefore violated Section 311(b)(3) of the CWA.
- 30. Pursuant to Section 311(b)(6) of the CWA and the facts stated in paragraphs 25 through 29 above, it is proposed that a civil penalty of \$11,000 be assessed against the Respondent.

Relief

31. Section 109(b)(1) of CERCLA authorizes a civil penalty of not more than \$25,000 per day for each day during which a violation continues for any violation of the requirements of Section 103(a) of CERCLA. Under the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 as implemented by the Civil Monetary Penalties Inflation Rule, 40 C.F.R. Part 19, penalties of up to \$27,500 per day for each day a violation continues may be assessed for violations of CERCLA Section 103 that occur after January 30, 1997. The penalty proposed in paragraph 19 above is based upon the facts stated in this Complaint, and on the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the above-cited violation, and with respect to the Respondent, ability to pay, any prior history of such violations, degree of culpability, economic benefit or savings (if any) resulting from the violations, and such other matters as justice may require in accordance with CERCLA and the Enforcement Response Policy for Sections 304, 311, and 312 of EPCRA and Section 103 of CERCLA. This policy provides a rational, consistent and equitable calculation methodology for applying the statutory penalty factors enumerated above to particular cases.

- 32. Section 325(b)(2) of EPCRA authorizes a civil penalty for violations of the requirements of Section 304 of EPCRA of not more than \$25,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues. Under the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 as implemented by the Civil Monetary Penalties Inflation Rule, 40 C.F.R. Part 19, penalties of up to \$27,500 per day for each day a violation continues may be assessed for violations of EPCRA Section 304 that occur after January 30, 1997. The penalty proposed in paragraph 24 above is based upon the facts stated in this Complaint, and on the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the above-cited violation, and with respect to the Respondent, ability to pay, effect on ability to continue to do business, any history of prior such violations, degree of culpability, and such other matters as justice may require in accordance with EPCRA and the Enforcement Response Policy for Sections 304, 311, and 312 of EPCRA and Section 103 of CERCLA. This policy provides a rational, consistent and equitable calculation methodology for applying the statutory penalty factors enumerated above to particular cases.
- 33. Section 311(b)(6)(B)(ii) of the CWA, authorizes a civil penalty for violations of the requirements of Section 311 of the CWA in an amount not to exceed \$10,000 per day for each day for each violation during which the violation continues, up to a maximum of \$125,000. Under the Civil Monetary Penalties Inflation Rule, 40 C.F.R. Part 19, penalties of up to \$11,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues, up to a maximum of \$137,500, may be assessed for violations of Section 311 of the CWA that occur after January 30, 1997. The penalty proposed in paragraph 30 above is based upon the facts stated in this Complaint, and on the seriousness of the violation, the economic benefit to the violator, if any, resulting from the violation, the degree of culpability involved, any other penalty for the same incident, any history of prior violations, the nature, extent, and degree of success of any efforts of the Respondent to minimize or mitigate the effects of the discharge, the economic impact on the violator, and other matters as justice may require.
- 34. The proposed penalties as set forth in this Complaint are based on the best information available to EPA at the time that the Complaint was issued. The penalty may be adjusted if the Respondent establishes bonafide issues of ability to pay, or other defenses relevant to the appropriate amount of the proposed penalty.
- 35. A Summary of the Proposed Penalties is contained in the enclosed Penalty Calculation Summary attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.
- 36. Respondent may resolve this proceeding at any time by paying the full penalty proposed in the Complaint and filing a copy of the check or other instrument of payment with the Regional Hearing Clerk.
- Payment of the penalty for Count I \$27,500 may be made by certified or cashier's check payable to "EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund" and remitted to:

EPA, Region 7
ATTN: Superfund Accounting c\o Mellon Bank
P.O. Box 360748M
Pittsburgh, PA 15251

- Payment of the penalty for Count II - \$27,500 - may be made by certified or cashier's check payable to "Treasurer, United States of America" and remitted to:

EPA, Region 7
ATTN: Regional Hearing Clerk c\o Mellon Bank
P.O. Box 360748M
Pittsburgh, PA 15251

- Payment of the penalty for Count III - \$11,000 - may be made by a certified or cashier's check payable to the "Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund", with the docket number of the Complaint referenced on the check, and remitted to:

Regional Hearing Clerk
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 7
901 North Fifth Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

All checks should reference the name and docket number of the Complaint.

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING

Answer and Request for Hearing

- 37. If Respondent pays the proposed penalty within thirty (30) days after receiving the Complaint, then no Answer need be filed.
- 38. Any Respondent who wishes to resolve a proceeding by paying the proposed penalty instead of filing an Answer, but who needs additional time to pay the penalty, may file a written statement with the Regional Hearing Clerk within thirty (30) days after receiving the Complaint stating that Respondent agrees to pay the proposed penalty in accordance with Rule 22.18(a)(1) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties, Issuance of Compliance or Corrective Action Orders, and the Revocation, Termination or Suspension of Permits; codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 22 (hereinafter "Consolidated Rules"). The written statement need not contain any response to, or admission of, the allegations in the

Complaint. Respondent must then pay the full amount of the penalty within sixty (60) days of receipt of the Complaint. Failure to pay the full penalty within sixty (60) days of receipt of the Complaint may subject the Respondent to default.

- 39. Respondent may request a hearing to contest any material fact contained in the Complaint above or to contest the appropriateness of the proposed penalty set forth therein. Such a hearing will be held and conducted in accordance with the Consolidated Rules, a copy of which is enclosed herewith.
- 40. To avoid being found in default, which constitutes an admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of Respondent's right to contest such factual allegations, Respondent must file a written answer and request for hearing within thirty (30) days of service of this Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing. The answer shall clearly and directly admit, deny, or explain each of the factual allegations contained in this Complaint with respect to which Respondent has any knowledge, or shall clearly state that Respondent has no knowledge as to particular factual allegations in this Complaint. The answer shall also state (a) the circumstances or arguments which are alleged to constitute the grounds of defense; (b) the facts that Respondent intends to place at issue; and (c) whether a hearing is requested.
- 41. Failure to deny any of the factual allegations in the Complaint constitutes an admission of the undenied allegations. The answer shall be filed with the following:

Regional Hearing Clerk United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 7 901 North Fifth Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101

42. If within thirty (30) days of service of this Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, Respondent fails to: (1) submit full payment of the penalty; or (2) submit a written statement to the Regional Hearing Clerk that Respondent agrees to pay the penalty; or (3) file a written answer and request for a hearing, Respondent may be found in default. Default by the Respondent constitutes, for the purposes of this proceeding, admission of all allegations made in the Complaint and a waiver of Respondent's right to contest such factual allegations. A Default Order may thereafter be issued by the Presiding Officer and the civil penalties proposed shall be ordered unless the penalty is clearly inconsistent with the record of the proceeding or the CWA, CERCLA and EPCRA.

Informal Settlement Conference

43. Whether or not Respondent requests a hearing, an informal conference may be requested in order to discuss the facts of this case, the proposed penalty, and the possibility of settlement. To request a settlement conference, please contact:

Rupert G. Thomas Assistant Regional Counsel United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 7 901 North Fifth Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101 Telephone (913) 551-7282.

- 44. Please note that a request for an informal settlement conference does <u>not</u> extend the thirty (30) day period during which a written answer and request for a hearing must be submitted.
- 45. EPA encourages all parties against whom a civil penalty is proposed to pursue the possibility of settlement through an informal settlement conference. Any settlement which may be reached as a result of such a conference shall be embodied in a written Consent Agreement and Final Order. The issuance of such a Consent Agreement and Final Order shall constitute a waiver of Respondent's right to request a hearing on any matter stipulated therein.
- 46. If Respondent has neither achieved a settlement by informal conference nor filed an answer within the thirty (30) day time period allowed by this Notice, the penalties proposed above may be assessed by the entry of a Default Order.

Public Notice Under the CWA

- 47. Pursuant to Section 311(b)(6)(C) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(6)(C), the Complainant will provide public notice of and reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposed issuance of an order assessing a civil administrative penalty against Respondent.
- 48. Pursuant to Section 311(b)(6)(C) of the CWA, if Respondent does not request a hearing and no hearing is held before the issuance of an order assessing a civil administrative penalty, any person who commented on the proposed assessment may petition, within thirty (30) days after the issuance of such an order, to set aside such order and to provide a hearing on the penalty.

Monke Bros Fertilizer Company Page 9 of 12

Date $\frac{3/31/c3}{}$	William a Sprott
	William A. Spratlin
	Director
	Air, RCRA, and Toxics Division
Date	Leo J. Alderman Director Water, Wetlands, and Pesticides Division
- At Wes -	
Ruper C. Thomas	
Assistant Regional Counsel	•
Office of Regional Counsel	

Enclosures:

Penalty Calculation Summary

Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties, Issuance of Compliance or Corrective Action Orders, and the Revocation, Termination or Suspension of Permits

Enforcement Response Policy for Sections 304, 311, and 312 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act and Section 103 of Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act Civil Penalty Policy for Section 311(b)(3) and Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the date noted below I hand delivered the original and one true copy of this Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to the Regional Hearing Clerk, United States Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North Fifth Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

I further certify that on the date noted below I sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, a true and correct copy of the signed original Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing; a copy of the Penalty Calculation Summary; a copy of the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties, Issuance of Compliance or Corrective Action Orders, and the Revocation, Termination or Suspension of Permits; and a copy of the September 30, 1999, Enforcement Response Policy for Sections 304, 311, and 312 of EPCRA and Section 103 of CERCLA, and a copy of Civil Penalty Policy for Section 311(b)(3) and Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act to the following registered agent for Monke Bros Fertilizer Company:

William Monke
Registered Agent for
Monke Bros Fertilizer Company
Route 1 Box 17
Nickerson, NE 68044

April 1, 2003

Date

Victoria L. Matthews

PENALTY CALCULATION FOR Monke Bros Fertilizer Company Fontanelle, NE

COUNT I

VIOLATION:

Failure to immediately notify National Response Center (NRC) of a June 3,

2002, anhydrous ammonia release; in violation of 40 C.F.R. § 302.6.

EXTENT:

LEVEL 1- Description: Failed to notify NRC immediately, more than 2

hours of anhydrous ammonia release.

GRAVITY:

LEVEL A - Description: Release was greater than 10 times the reportable

quantity for anhydrous ammonia.

GRAVITY BASED

PENALTY:

\$27,500 + other adjustments: None

PROPOSED

PENALTY:

\$27,500

COUNT II

VIOLATION:

Failure to immediately notify the Local Emergency Planning Committee

(LEPC) and State Emergency Response Commission (SERC) of a June 3,

2002, anhydrous ammonia release; in violation of 40 C.F.R. § 355.40.

EXTENT:

LEVEL 1 - Description: Failed to notify LEPC/SERC immediately; greater

than 2 hours after anhydrous ammonia release.

GRAVITY:

LEVEL A - Description: Release was greater than 10 times the reportable

quantity for anhydrous ammonia.

GRAVITY BASED

PENALTY:

\$27,500 + other adjustments: None

PROPOSED

PENALTY:

\$27,500

COUNT III

VIOLATION:

Discharge of a hazardous substance on June 3, 2002, in violation of Section

311(b)(3) of the Clean Water Act.

<u>SERIOUSNESS</u>:

The violation represents a significant violation of the Clean Water Act involving a discharge of anhydrous ammonia. The quantity of this toxic hazardous substance discharged was greater than 10 times the reportable quantity for anhydrous ammonia. The toxic discharge resulted in an employee being taken to a hospital and the evacuation of children at a nearby school. The discharge migrated into an unnamed tributary of Papillion Creek and resulted in fish kills, vegetation damage, and soil contamination.

CULPABILITY:

The discharge reportedly occurred when the hose burst during unloading of product from Respondent's semi truck to its bulk plant. Respondent indicates that the Smart Hose System did not perform as specified and did not close off the supply hook up before anhydrous ammonia was released.

MITIGATION:

Respondent indicates that following the rupture of the transfer hose, 911 was called, and the Bellingham Volunteer Fire Department assisted in closing the valve on semi trailer. Water was sprayed on the area to facilitate closing the valve. Respondent waited approximately 6 1/2 hours following the release before notifying the National Response Center (NRC). No apparent effort was made by Respondent to contain and properly dispose of ammonia contaminated water or soil.

PRIOR

VIOLATIONS:

A review of the compliance history of the facility does not reveal any other discharges in violation of Section 311 (b)(3) of the CWA within the past five years.

ADJUSTMENTS:

There has been no penalty to a State or local government for a violation arising out of the same incident. Respondent is assumed to be viable and the economic impact is expected to be minimal.

ECONOMIC.

BENEFIT:

Respondent's economic benefit obtained in not making whatever investment was necessary to avoid the prohibited discharges is expected to be minimal.

<u>PROPOS</u>ED

PENALTY:

\$11,000

TOTAL PROPOSED PENALTY: \$66,000